

# Water or nitrogen placement and leaching from furrow irrigation

R. E. Sojka, G. A. Lehrsch, and D. T. Westermann

Past nitrate leaching concerns from permeable furrow-irrigated soils were more for nutrient utilization inefficiency than groundwater contamination. Systematic variation occurs in infiltration, saturated flow, equipotentials, and solute movement with furrow irrigation (2, 3). Saturated flow near furrows can leach water and  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  beyond the root zone (Figure 1). Sprinkler and furrow irrigation comparisons confirmed  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  leaching losses with furrow irrigation even without over-irrigation (8).

Logistic and agronomic considerations influence positioning of irrigation furrows, seed, fertilizers and water, as well as irrigation scheduling and duration. To maximize efficiency and minimize leaching, Taylor (10) spaced furrows so that lateral joining of wetting fronts preceded or coincided with penetration to wet soil below roots. Gunneion et al. (4) showed that joint nutrient and water application from a point source strongly favored downward nutrient movement. Hummadi et al. (6) showed that with furrow irrigation some  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  from preplant broadcast ammonium phosphate and side-dressed urea banded in shoulders of beds, migrated upward into raised double-row lettuce beds by season's end. The same principle is used to manage salts (1, 5, 11).

We explored practices to reduce  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  leaching from furrow-irrigated corn. Band placement was as recommended by Kemper et al. (7). Split-root studies have shown vigorous rooting into soil as dry as -18 bars (9). We hypothesized that banding on the season-long dry side of a raised ridge would allow adequate rooting and crop N extraction and reduce leaching.

## Approach

Corn was grown in 76-cm rows (70,000 plants  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) for 2 yr on Portneuf silt loam (Durixerollic Calciorthid) near Kimberly, ID. The 1st half of a split urea application was either broadcast before mid-May planting or banded at planting 5 cm to the side and 2.5 cm below the 5 cm-deep seed, on the side of seed away from the normally irrigated furrow (Figure 1). In late June, all plots were side-dressed 7.6-cm deep in a band 12.7 cm to the dry side of established corn. Total N applied each year to satisfy soil test recommendations was 90  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  in 1988 and 180  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  in 1989. Every other furrow was irrigated each irrigation. In half of the plots the same furrow was irrigated all season. In the rest the irrigated furrow was shifted from one side of the corn to the

other (rotated) each irrigation. Soil in the plant row was sampled for  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  7-10 days after each irrigation and in Fall and Spring. Growth, yield, and N uptake were monitored.

## Results

Generally, by mid-season deep profile  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  (Figure 2) was less without furrow rotation for either banded or broadcast fertilizer. Apparently, furrow rotation promoted fertilizer-to-water contact, increasing leaching. With rotated furrow irrigation, season-long upper profile  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  concentrations were higher with banding than broadcasting. Rotated irrigation evidently carried banded and/or sidedressed N toward rows and up into ridges where it was sampled. Rotation may also have promoted more mineralization. Without furrow rotation, early season upper profile  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  was greater for banding, but greater by late-season for broadcasting. Water infiltrating from non-rotated furrows by season's end likely carried broadcast N to the sampling zone in rows and up into ridges, whereas N sidedressed on the dry side moved either very little or toward the dry furrow, away from the sampling zone. Post-season  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  (Figure 3) was higher with furrow rotation above approximately 1.5 m depth than without furrow rotation. Treatments did not affect corn silage or grain yield, or their N uptake (Tables 1 and 2). Therefore, differences in profile  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  primarily reflect differences in  $\text{NO}_3$  movement, transformations, and/or mineralization rather than treatment uptake differences.

## Conclusions

Banding N and limiting wetting of ridges and the upper profile reduced  $\text{NO}_3$  leaching loss. Higher upper-profile  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  levels at season's end using furrow rotation probably resulted from more N mineralized where higher water contents existed in more of the profile. Dry-side banding produced equal yields and plant N uptake. More post-harvest leaching was evident where furrows were rotated.

## References cited

1. Bernstein, L., and L.F. Francois. 1973. *Comparison of drip, furrow and sprinkler irrigation*. Soil Sci. 115:73-86.
2. Childs, E.C. 1943. *The Water table, equipotentials, and streamlines in drained land*. Soil Sci. 59:317-330.
3. Gardner, W.H. 1960. *Operating the Soil Moisture Reservoir*, E.M. 2096, pp. 28-41, Agric. Ext. Serv., Washington State University, Pullman, WA.
4. Gunneion, R., R. Habib, and A.M. Cockborn. 1979. *Aspects particuliers concernant la disponibilite de N, P et K en irrigation localisee fertilisante sur arbres fruitiers*.

R. E. Sojka, G. A. Lehrsch, and D. R. Westermann are with the Soil and Water Management Research Unit, U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, 3793N-3600E, Kimberly, Idaho 83341

- p. 21-34. In *Seminaires sur l'Irrigation Localisee I*: par L'Institut d'Agronomie de l'Universite de Bologne.
- Hobbs, E.H., and G.C. Russel. 1963. *Effect of method of irrigation on the distribution of salts in a loam soil*. Can. J. Soil Sci. 43:65-69.
  - Hummadi, K.B., D.D. Fangmeier, and T.C. Tucker. 1975. *Soluble salts and nitrate distribution in irrigated lettuce beds*. Trans. ASAE. 18:686-689.
  - Kemper, W.D., J. Olsen, and A. Hodgdon. 1975. *Fertilizer or salt leaching as affected by surface shaping and placement of fertilizer and irrigation water*. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 39:115-119.
  - Nielson, R.F., and L.A. Banks. 1960. *A new look at nitrate movement in soils*. Utah Agr. Exp. Sta. Farm Home Sci. 21:2-3,19.
  - Portas, C.A.M., and H.M. Taylor. 1976. *Growth and survival of young plant roots in dry soil*. Soil Sci. 121:170-175.
  - Taylor, S.A. 1952. *Irrigation more effective with closer spaced furrows*. Utah Agr. Exp. Sta. Farm Home Sci. 13:84-85,99.
  - Wadleigh, C.H., and M. Fireman. 1948. *Salt distribution under furrow and basin irrigated cotton and its effect on water removal*. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 13:527-530.

**Table 1. Grain and silage yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 15.5 and 65% H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.**


Treatment	Grain and Silage Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
	1988	1989	Mean	1988	1989	Mean
Banded rotated	7.33	6.10	6.71	20.08	21.56	20.82
Broadcast rotated	7.91	5.96	6.94	21.27	20.77	21.02
Banded non-rotated	7.55	5.80	6.67	19.52	21.00	20.26
Broadcast non-rotated	7.60	5.47	6.53	20.11	19.61	19.86

No value in any column differs at P<sub>≤</sub>5% from any other value in that column

**Table 2. Grain and silage N uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).**

Treatment	Grain and Silage N Uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
	1988	1989	Mean	1988	1989	Mean
Banded rotated	113.6	102.3	108.0	162.8	143.9	153.3
Broadcast rotated	119.3	92.8	106.0	158.2	135.2	146.7
Banded non-rotated	115.9	91.2	103.6	149.6	134.8	142.2
Broadcast non-rotated	114.6	87.1	100.9	150.4	120.4	135.4

No value in any column differs at P<sub>≤</sub>5% from any other value in that column




**Figure 1. Schematic of plant, seed (S), water, banded N (Nba), sidedressed N (Nsd), and broadcast N (Nbr) relative to furrow and bed. Equipotential and flow lines are presented conceptually.**

# NO<sub>3</sub> - N (mg/kg)

1988

1989



**Figure 2. Soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N profiles for 4 treatment combinations: Banded (BA), Broadcast (BR), Rotated (R), and Non-rotated (NR).**

### Post-Season $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (mg/kg)




Figure 3. Fall and Spring post-season soil  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  profiles for select treatments for the 1988 and 1989 cropping season.